



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/912,441	. (	07/24/2001	Yoshihiro Yamanishi	04995/022001	4439
22511	7590	04/19/2005		EXAMINER	
OSHA LIAI	NG L.L.I	P.	DESIR, JEAN WICEL		
1221 MCKIN	NEY ST	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2800				AKTONII	TATER NOMBER
HOUSTON,	TX 770	10	2614		

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/912,441	YAMANISHI, YOSI	HIHIRO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jean W. Désir	2614					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	tress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. & 133).	mmunication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 F	ebruary 2005, Amendment.		•				
		s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2 in the correct to be seen as the correct							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Application rity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachment	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Inform Paper	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		152)				
Potent and Tr	adamed Office	<del></del>						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schneidewend et al (US 6,249,320).

#### Claim 1:

The claimed "storage section for storing the major channel and plural receivable subchannels broadcast by the broadcasting station according to the major channel" is disclosed, see Fig. 1 item 60, col. 5 line 63 to col. 6 line 4, where storage section is disclosed as claimed;

the claimed "major channel output section for outputting the major channel stored by said storage section" is disclosed, see Figs. 12, 13;

the claimed "differentiation section for differentiating between the subchannel in selection and the subchannels stored in the storage section other than the subchannel in selection" is disclosed, see Fig. 12, col. 4 lines 43-57;

the claimed "subchannel output section for outputting the subchannel in selection differentiated by said differentiation section and the subchannels other the subchannel in selection" is disclosed, see Fig. 12, col. 11 lines 49-63.

"wherein the major channel (Fig. 12 item 12 NBC) and the plural receivable subchannels (Fig. 12 items 12-1 to 12-6) are simultaneously displayed on an image receiving part (Fig. 12 item 1200 which is an image receiving part) on which a user is simultaneously viewing content (Fig. 12 items FOOTBALL, BASKETBALL, for instance are considered as content of subchannel 12-1) of the subchannel in selection (Fig. 12 item 12-1)".

Claim 5 is disclosed, see Fig. 12 where subchannels (MINOR channels) are sorted according to a predetermined condition as claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneidewend et al (US 6,249,320).

### Claim 2:

The claimed "said differentiation section is constructed so that the subchannel in selection and the subchannels other than the subchannel in selection are set to different

colors" is not explicitly disclosed by Schneidewend, as claimed in claim 2. However,
Official Notice is taken that setting channels to different colors, different fonts and/or font
sizes, is a very well procedure in the art that would facilitate channel selection. An
artisan would be motivated to implement this procedure in Schneidewend's disclosure in
order to facilitate channel selection. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been
obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.
Claims 3, 4 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 2.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are most in view of reinterpretation of the reference necessitated by the amendment.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/912,441

Art Unit: 2614

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 5

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jean W. Désir whose telephone number is (571) 272

7344. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 - First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (571) 272 7353. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JWD Apr. 9, 05

JOHN MILLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600